THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

Determination of lopromide in Environmental Waters by Ion Chromatography-ICP-MS

<u>Armando Durazo</u>, Tarun Anumol, and Shane A. Snyder Department of Chemical & Environmental Engineering and the BIO5 Institute, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

Introduction

lopromide is an iodinated contrast medium (ICM) which is used to image internal body organs and blood vessels by either X-ray or computerized tomography (CT) scans. lopromide is generally given to patients in g/L concentrations and is excreted within 24 hours in the patient's urine[1]. The structure of iopromide is shown below:



The presence of iopromide in surface waters and wastewaters has been widely reported as ranging from several ng/L to as much as 10 μ g/L in sewage treatment plant effluents [2-4]. Furthermore, ICMs are known to be resistant to sewage treatment and studies have shown they are relatively poorly removed by conventional treatment processes [2, 5-7]. Due to its presence and environmental persistence, it has also been suggested that iopromide be used as a potential indicator of wastewater contamination [8].

Most analytical methods developed for iopromide and other ICMs involve the use of LC coupled to a mass spectrometer, generally a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer [9-13]. This poster describes the optimized conditions for sensitive and reproducible analysis of sub-ppb levels of iopromide in water extracts, using an Agilent 1260 **Bio-inert LC coupled to an Agilent 7700x ICP-MS.** With the use of a 500 μ L injection volume we have established a lower method reporting limit of 0.1 ppb for iopromide in our diluted methanol extracts in our assay; this corresponds to a lower MRL of 2 ppt in our environmental water samples.

Instrumentation

Sample preparation

Water samples were filtered through 0.7 μ m filters and then extracted using an automated SPE system. 200 mg hydrophiliclipophilic balance (HLB) cartridges were first preconditioned with 5 mL of MTBE, followed by 5 mL of methanol and 5 mL of HPLC grade water. 1.0 L of each sample was then loaded onto a cartridge at a flow rate of 15 mL/min, after which the cartridges were rinsed with HPLC grade water followed by drying with nitrogen gas for 30 minutes. All adsorbed analytes were then eluted into 15 mL graduated conical tubes with 5 mL of methanol followed by 5 mL of 10/90 (v/v) methanol/MTBE solution. The eluent was then evaporated to a total volume less than 100 μ L under flowing nitrogen followed by reconstitution to 1.0 mL total volume using methanol. 50 μ L of this extract was then diluted with 950 μ L of HPLC grade water to give the final extract used for IC-ICP-MS analysis.

Instrument Configuration and Conditions

Liquid Chromatograph:

- Agilent 1260 Bio-inert quaternary pump
- Agilent 1260 Bio-inert HiP autosampler equipped with 2.0 mL loop, **30** second H₂O needle wash, **500** μ L sample injection volume
- Dionex AG-16 4x50 mm guard column & Dionex AS-16 4x250 mm analytical column
- 1.0 mL/min flow rate; Eluent $A = MQ H_2Q$, Eluent B = 100 mMNaOH



ICP-MS:

• Agilent 7700x ICP-MS

- HMI Sample Introduction; 0.6 L/min dilution gas, 0.5 L/min carrier gas, 9.0 mm sample depth
- Helium collision gas mode; 3.5 mL/min He flow
- 2 second integration time for ¹²⁷I in TRA mode
- Calibration standards prepared in MQ H₂O with [iopromide] of 0.0, 0.1, 1.0, 10.0, 100.0, and 1000.0 ppb



iodine content, using compound-independent calibrations (CIC), where the iodine content of an unknown compound is calibrated using the iodine response for a known compound, in this case iopromide. ICP-MS is ideally suited for analysis using CIC, as the high temperature ICP ion source ensures that the elemental response of the target element (iodine in this case) is essentially independent of the compound in which the target element is present.

> Sample 2 Sample 1

We have successfully quantified iopromide in a series of environmental water extracts using an Agilent 1260 LC coupled to an Agilent 7700x ICP-MS. This experimental arrangement allowed for us to establish an analytical method with a lower method reporting limit (MRL) of 0.1 ppb iopromide in extracts prepared via automated SPE. The use of the HMI interface allowed for an extended (>24 hr.) analysis to be completed (using non-volatile eluents) with minimal matrix deposition on the interface cones, and the use of He collision gas mode provides effective removal of polyatomic interferences. Not only does our work confirm and quantitate the presence of iopromide in these environmental samples, it indicates the presence of other iodinated organic compounds in these samples that are likely from anthropogenic sources and may prove to be biologically active.

Time (min.)



Agilent Technologies

TP 614

Summary of Results

The analysis of our sample extracts indicated concentrations of iopromide in our extracts ranging from sub-ppb up to approximately 250 ppb; these values correspond to concentrations of iopromide in our environmental waters ranging from 4 ppt to 5 ppb. The wide dynamic range of our ICP-MS assay allowed for all samples to be analyzed with one single calibration curve.

Although this work focused on iopromide, we were also able to detect the presence of other iodinated organics present in our sample extracts, as evidenced by the peaks in the EIC for m/z 127 (I⁺). The iodine content of these peaks can be quantitated using compound-independent calibrations (CICs), since the instrumental response to I essentially does not depend on the organic nature of the iodinated species entering the plasma.

Our future work on this topic will include expanding our method to quantitate more ICMs in environmental waters and to examine the fate of these species during oxidative water treatment processes.

Conclusions

References

1. Steger-Hartmann, T., et al., *Investigations into the* environmental fate and effects of iopromide (ultravist), a widely used iodinated X-ray contrast medium. Water Research, 2002. 36(1): p. 266-274.

2. Ternes, T.A. and R. Hirsch, *Occurrence and behavior of X-ray* contrast media in sewage facilities and the aquatic environment. Environmental Science & Technology, 2000. **34**(13): p. 2741-2748. **3.** Carballa, M., et al., *Behavior of pharmaceuticals, cosmetics* and hormones in a sewage treatment plant. Water Research. 2004. 38(12): p. 2918-2926.

4. Kormos, J.L., M. Schulz, and T.A. Ternes, Occurrence of Iodinated X-ray Contrast Media and Their Biotransformation Products in the Urban Water Cycle. Environmental Science & Technology, 2011. 45(20): p. 8723-8732.

5. Drewes, J.E., P. Fox, and M. Jekel, Occurrence of iodinated Xray contrast media in domestic effluents and their fate during *indirect potable reuse.* Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part a-Toxic/Hazardous Substances & Environmental Engineering, 2001. 36(9): p. 1633-1645.

6. Drewes, J.E., et al., Fate of pharmaceuticals during ground water recharge. Ground Water Monitoring and Remediation, 2003. **23**(3): p. 64-72.

7. Snyder, S.A., et al., *Role of membranes and activated carbon* in the removal of endocrine disruptors and pharmaceuticals. Desalination, 2007. 202(1-3): p. 156-181.

8. Dickenson, E.R.V., et al., Indicator compounds for assessment of wastewater effluent contributions to flow and water quality. Water Research, 2011. 45(3): p. 1199-1212.

9. Putschew, A., S. Schittko, and M. Jekel, *Quantification of* triiodinated benzene derivatives and X-ray contrast media in water samples by liquid chromatography-electrospray tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A, 2001. 930(1 2): p. 127-134.

10. Hirsch, R., et al., A sensitive method for the determination of iodine containing diagnostic agents in aqueous matrices using *LC-electrospray-tandem-MS detection.* Fresenius Journal of Analytical Chemistry, 2000. 366(8): p. 835-841.

11. Duirk, S.E., et al., Formation of Toxic Iodinated Disinfection By-Products from Compounds Used in Medical Imaging Environmental Science & Technology, 2011. 45(16): p. 6845-6854. **12.** Ternes, T.A., *Analytical methods for the determination of* pharmaceuticals in aqueous environmental samples. Trac-Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 2001. 20(8): p. 419-434.

13. Vanderford, B.J., et al., *Assessment of sample preservation* techniques for pharmaceuticals, personal care products, and steroids in surface and drinking water. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry, 2011. **399**(6): p. 2227-2234.

For More Information

Please see Agilent publication 5991-1044 EN, which is available at www.agilent.com/chem